

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,901	01/26/2004	Michael D. Hillman	29498/30004A	2723
4743	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
233 S. WAC SEARS TO	KER DRIVE, SUITE 630 VER	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	3634		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,901	HILLMAN ET AL.				
Offic Acti n Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 19 and 73-88 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19 and 73-88 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 the oath of the oath oath of the oath	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's election with traverse in the reply filed on 3/3/06 is acknowledged. However, no reason for the traverse was given. Also, the election of the brake of Figs. 16-22 contains three different brakes. The brake of Figs. 16-18 has been searched and considered. The brake of Figs. 19-21 and the brake of Fig. 22 has not been searched or considered.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 0081, "to a allow" is improper. Reference numeral 216 has been used for different elements, as seen for example in paragraphs 0084 and 0087.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 73-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 73, the spring is said to engage the drive actuator. However, in claim 19, from which claim 73 depends, the spring is listed as part of the drive actuator. Also, in many of the claims the biasing element and the "spring engaging the drive actuator" are recited as different elements when they are actually the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19,73,78 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wang '734.

The spools and the spring motor are in the headrail. See biasing element 53 and bias adjustment mechanism 52, etc.

Claims 78-81 and 83-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wang et al '154.

See headrail 10, bottom rail 22, window covering 20, spools 32A,B, spring motors 33A,B, biasing element 51, etc., spring 513, and release button 51.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19,73-77,82 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al '154 in view of Fizer.

Fizer discloses a friction brake wherein a spring 98 biases a brake pad 88. It would have been obvious to replace the toothed brake of Wang et al with the friction brake of Fizer so as to permit smooth winding and unwinding.

Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al '154 in view of Biro et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,901 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Providing separate grooves for each cord on a cord storage spool is well known, as illustrated by Biro et al. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide one spool with separate grooves so as to reduce the number of spring motors and spools needed as well as to keep the cords from becoming entangled.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,901 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 5/30/06